

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/775,381		DAWSON, PETER		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Tho v. Duong		3753		

All Participants:

(1) Tho v. Duong.

(2) Stephen Lewellyn.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Withdrawn claims 6, 7, 11-24, 26-35 and 38-44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Status of Application: Amendment after first office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The withdrawn claims have been mis-identified (currently amended), the corrected status identifier for those being amended should be "withdrawn currently amended". The independent claims 25 and 45 are allowed. However, the independent claims 25 and 45 are not generic and directly only to the species A and 6a, which includes strip flow diverter having one bend, one hole formed therethrough along a center line, at least one pressure resistor is received by the hole. Since the allowable claims 25 and 45 are not generic, the applicant is called to cancel the withdrawn claims so the notice of allowance can be sent and no non-responsive amendment due to mis-identifier of claim have to send out. However, applicant disagrees with the cancellation of the withdrawn claim and request a written response. The examiner has informed the applicant that a non-responsive amendment will be sent out for the applicant to correct the claim status identifier as well as re-considering the cancellation. The foreign references listed in the IDS filed 7/29/2005 should be submitted so they can be considered. .